



(19)

Eur pâlsch s Pat ntamt
 European Patent Office
 Office uropéen des br v ts



(11)

EP 0 888 026 A3

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(88) Date of publication A3:
 02.06.1999 Bulletin 1999/22

(51) Int Cl. 6: H04Q 7/38, H04Q 7/32

(43) Date of publication A2:
 30.12.1998 Bulletin 1998/53

(21) Application number: 98304792.9

(22) Date of filing: 17.06.1998

(84) Designated Contracting States:
 AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
 MC NL PT SE

Designated Extension States:
 AL LT LV MK RO SI

(30) Priority: 25.06.1997 FI 972736

(71) Applicant: NOKIA MOBILE PHONES LTD.
 02150 Espoo (FI)

(72) Inventors:

- Korpela, Mikko
 92130 Raahe (FI)

- Jutila, Sami
 90570 Oulu (FI)
- Pussinen, Arto
 90540 Oulu (FI)
- Piirtikangas, Karl
 Farnborough, Hampshire, GU 14 0JR (GB)
- Lintulampli, Raino
 90810 Kvinlemi (FI)

(74) Representative: Haws, Helen Louise
 Nokia IPR Department
 Nokia (UK) Limited
 Summit Avenue
 Southwood
 Farnborough Hampshire GU14 0NZ (GB)

(54) Method for handover and cell re-selection

(57) For transmitting information about the neighbouring cells to mobile stations, a base station of a cellular radio system creates a message (27, 27') containing information about the neighbouring cells and transmits it to the mobile station. In addition to the transmission frequencies used in the neighbouring cells, the base station includes in the message other information characterizing the neighbouring cells (32a, 32b, 32c, 34). In particular, the base station includes in the message information about the quality of service offered to mobile stations by each of the neighbouring cells mentioned in the message. On the basis of the message, the mobile station makes a preliminary selection of a group of the most advantageous new cells and performs measurements among this preliminary selection in order to find a suitable new cell (41, 47). If the measurements show that there is at least one suitable new cell among the preliminary selection, the mobile station selects a new cell from this group (42). If the measurements show that there are no suitable new cells in this group, the mobile station selects a new cell from another group of cells than the preliminary selection (43, 48).




Fig. 4a



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 98 30 4792

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT									
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.6)						
X	US 4 850 033 A (EIZENHOFER ALFONS ET AL) 18 July 1989	1-3, 10, 12	H04Q7/38 H04Q7/32						
Y	* column 4, line 17 - line 47 * * claims 1-3 *	11							
X	US 4 633 509 A (SCHEINERT STEFAN) 30 December 1986	1							
Y	* column 3, line 60 - column 4, line 53 * * column 5, line 48 - line 54 * * column 6, line 24 - line 30 * * claims 1,4 *	11							
X	US 5 353 332 A (RAITH ALEX K ET AL) 4 October 1994	1, 2, 10-12							
	* column 4, line 32 - line 42 * * column 6, line 13 - line 54 * * column 7, line 35 - line 39 * * column 7, line 59 - column 8, line 33 * * column 9, line 49 - column 10, line 4 *								
A	US 5 301 359 A (VAN DEN HEUVEL ANTHONY P ET AL) 5 April 1994	1-12	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.6)						
	* column 2, line 43 - line 61 * * column 3, line 37 - column 4, line 46 *		H04Q H04B						
<p>The present search report has been drawn up for all claims</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Place of search</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Date of completion of the search</td> <td style="width: 34%;">Examiner</td> </tr> <tr> <td>THE HAGUE</td> <td>9 April 1999</td> <td>Pecci, R</td> </tr> </table>				Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner	THE HAGUE	9 April 1999	Pecci, R
Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner							
THE HAGUE	9 April 1999	Pecci, R							
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document							
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document									


**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 98 30 4792

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on. The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

09-04-1999

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 4850033 A	18-07-1989	DE 3611301 A		10-12-1987
		AT 85741 T		15-02-1993
		AU 599967 B		02-08-1990
		AU 7099387 A		08-10-1987
		CA 1274280 A		18-09-1990
		DE 3784087 A		25-03-1993
		EP 0240073 A		07-10-1987
		JP 2735545 B		02-04-1998
		JP 62237820 A		17-10-1987
US 4633509 A	30-12-1986	DE 3246741 C		05-07-1984
		AU 574055 B		30-06-1988
		AU 2243883 A		21-06-1984
		CA 1230384 A		15-12-1987
		EP 0111972 A		27-06-1984
		JP 59139736 A		10-08-1984
US 5353332 A	04-10-1994	AU 668591 B		09-05-1996
		AU 4926793 A		12-04-1994
		CA 2123605 A		31-03-1994
		CN 1085705 A		20-04-1994
		GB 2275589 A,B		31-08-1994
		MX 9305503 A		31-05-1994
		NZ 256326 A		28-05-1996
		SE 9401644 A		16-09-1993
		WO 9407322 A		31-03-1994
		SG 52648 A		28-09-1998
US 5301359 A	05-04-1994	AU 637606 B		03-06-1993
		AU 5343490 A		16-11-1990
		CA 2045467 C		31-01-1995
		CN 1048638 A,B		16-01-1991
		DE 69029133 D		19-12-1996
		DE 69029133 T		15-05-1997
		EP 0474641 A		18-03-1992
		IL 93444 A		30-05-1994
		JP 2792232 B		03-09-1998
		JP 4504934 T		27-08-1992
		KR 9508646 B		03-08-1995
		WO 9013211 A		01-11-1990





(21)(A1) **2,221,820**

(22) 1997/11/20

(43) 1998/06/24

(72) MOORE, Michael P., US


(71) AT&T WIRELESS SERVICES, INC., US

(51) Int.Cl.⁶ H04Q 7/36

(30) 1996/12/24 (772,829) US

(54) **METHODE DE CONTROLE DES RESELECTIONS DE VOIES**

(54) **METHOD FOR CONTROLLING CHANNEL RE-SELECTION**



(57) L'invention est une méthode de résélection de voies de contrôle dans une station mobile qui réduit l'utilisation des ressources en limitant l'évaluation des voies de contrôle des candidats à celles qui satisfont à certains critères et qui semblent éligibles comme voies de contrôle. En conséquence, on évite d'utiliser les ressources pour tenter de résélectionner une voie de contrôle non compatible avec la station mobile.

(57) A method for re-selecting control channels in a mobile station reduces the use of resources by limiting an evaluation of candidate control channels to those channels which satisfy certain criteria and are deemed to be eligible control channels. As a consequence, resources are not spent on attempting to re-select a control channel that is not compatible with the mobile station.



METHOD FOR CONTROLLING CHANNEL RE-SELECTION

A method for re-selecting control channels in a mobile station reduces the use of resources by limiting an evaluation of candidate control channels to those channels which satisfy certain criteria and are deemed to be eligible control channels. As a consequence, resources are not spent on attempting to re-select a control channel that is not compatible with the mobile station.

METHOD FOR CONTROLLING CHANNEL RE-SELECTION

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to a method for controlling control channel re-selection by a mobile communication station. More particularly, the invention relates to allowing the re-selection process to be conducted only with respect to those candidate control channels, onto which the mobile station is eligible to camp.

In the wireless communication environment it is known that as a mobile station moves throughout an area it is likely to encounter different cells that are serviced by different base stations. One example of a multi-cell wireless environment is illustrated in FIG. 1. There are a plurality of cells (C_1 to C_{12}) and each is served by a separate base station (BS_1 to BS_{12}). As a mobile station moves from one cell to another cell it is served by a different base station.

Typically the base stations operate with distinct control channels, voice channels or data channels. It is known that during a communication, such as a call, as a mobile station passes from one cell to another it is handed off from one base station to another according to a certain protocol. However, in an activated state where a call is not in process, while the mobile station

is in a cell, it is camped on a control channel, i.e., it is in essence tuned to that channel and prepared to engage in communications of control information over that channel. The mobile station must execute a process for selecting another control channel as the mobile station moves from cell to cell. This is called a re-selection process.

In the wireless environment, a known standard referred to as the IS-136 Standard defines protocols for digital wireless communications involving digital mobile stations. That standard defines a process by which the mobile station can re-select a control channel as it moves among the cells. In that process the mobile station is presumed to be "camped-on" to a first control channel. That control channel then transmits a list of the neighboring control channels, that is the list of control channels for the neighboring cells (or service providers). The mobile station receives the list and stores it. Then in accordance with a prescribed algorithm, the mobile station determines which one of the control channels on the list constitutes the primary candidate for re-selection. This determination is made based on such things as reading the RF (Radio Frequency) level of the various control channels in the list and determining which is the control channel that the mobile station should try to select next. For example, if the mobile station was in cell C₂ moving towards cell C₁, it may detect that the next strongest control channel among the neighbors of cell C₂ originates from base station BS₁ and therefore would deem that control channel to be the primary candidate for re-selection.

Further, in accordance with the algorithm described in the IS-136 standard once a primary candidate has been determined the mobile station attempts to re-select to that control channel. If that re-selection attempt is successful, then the mobile station camps onto the new

control channel, receives a new list of neighboring control channels and begins the process all over with the new list of neighbors. If the attempt is unsuccessful, then the mobile station either selects the next appropriate candidate channel (that is a secondary candidate channel) or repeats the analysis of the entire list of candidate channels attempting to determine another primary candidate channel for re-selection.

A problem arises in that when the mobile station receives the neighbor list, it may include control channels with which the mobile station is simply incompatible. For example, the list may include one or more channels that are related to private systems and a mobile station may not be permitted access to those private systems. Alternatively, the mobile station may be seeking voice service and the control channels may be directed to data or fax services. Nonetheless, based on the parameters used in the analysis algorithm, these control channels with which the mobile station cannot truly interact are included in the analysis operation and one of these incompatible control channels could be selected as the primary candidate control channel. Naturally when this occurs, the attempt to re-select to that control channel will fail. Thus, the execution of the process has wasted time and resources toward the attempt to re-select an incompatible control channel. Furthermore, under the known algorithms, once the attempt to re-select to the incompatible control channel has failed that channel is still included in the next cycle of the re-selection process and could be once again selected as the primary candidate control channel.

It is conceivable that the mobile station could get caught in an extended loop of determining an incompatible control channel to be the primary candidate control channel and making a failed attempt to re-select to that control channel.

In view of the shortcomings of the known re-

selection process, it is desirable to provide further controls in the re-selection process to avoid the attempt to re-select to incompatible control channels. It is also desirable to avoid second attempts to re-select to control channels which are determined to be incompatible with the mobile station during a re-select attempt.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with the present invention a mobile station performs a method for controlling the re-selection of a control channel. In that method the mobile station, prior to performing any algorithm for selecting a primary candidate control channel, marks as ineligible, any one of the control channels identified on the neighbor list which are incompatible with the mobile station. The selection of a primary control channel then proceeds only with regard to those control channels which are determined to be eligible. Once a primary candidate control channel is selected the mobile station attempts to re-select to that primary candidate control channel. If the attempt to re-select is successful, then the mobile station camps on to this control channel. If, however, the attempt fails, then the mobile station determines why the attempt failed. If the failure arises from an incompatibility between the mobile station and the control channel, then that control channel is marked as ineligible in the neighbor list and when the re-selection process is repeated with the same neighbor list, since that control channel has been marked as ineligible it will not be a factor in the selection of a primary candidate control channel.

Examples of incompatibility are as follows. The mobile station may not have any access privileges with regard to private systems. In this case, the mobile station would scan the neighbor list and mark as ineligible any control channel identified as being

related to a private system since the mobile station will not be permitted access to such systems. Alternatively, the mobile station may have access to only one private system. In such a case, then if a primary candidate control channel is a private system channel and the mobile station is not determined to have access to that particular private system, then the attempt to re-select to the private system will fail and the neighbor list will be modified to reflect that fact that this channel is not eligible for analysis in a subsequent re-selection process.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates in a high level schematic form a wireless system in which the present invention can be employed.

FIG. 2 illustrates a table of information employed by a mobile station in the environment of Fig. 1 to implement the present invention.

FIG. 3 illustrates a process flow for reselection of a control channel in the wireless channel of Fig. 1 in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

As described above, the mobile station which employs the present invention may be used in a wireless embodiment which is shown schematically in FIG. 1. A cellular phone providing voice service could constitute such a station. A plurality of cells C_1 to C_{12} are serviced by respective base stations BS_1 to BS_{12} . When the mobile station is in cell C_1 , it may be camped on to the control channel from base station BS_1 . The control channel BS_1 will transmit a list of neighboring control channels. The list will include control channels associated with base station BS_2 , base station BS_5 and base stations that service other cells adjacent to cell

C_i. Furthermore, the list of neighboring channels may include a list of control channels that are related to fax services or data services. In addition, the list may include a control channel associated with a private system such as that shown in cell C_i. Typically such a private system is overlaid by the public system so that the area covered by the private system is covered by both the public and private system, but access to the private system itself, for example, a wireless PBX on a party's premises, is not permissible except by members of that private system.

When the mobile station receives the neighbor list from the control channel on which it is camped, it stores that neighbor list in memory. The mobile station may be a cellular phone which complies with the IS-136 standards. Such a mobile communications device includes processing capabilities and memory, both read only memory (ROM) and random access memory (RAM). The ROM stores control programs for operating the device while the RAM stores dynamic information which can be updated over time, such as the neighbor list transmitted from a control channel on which the station is camped. In its memory the mobile station would track the identification of the control channels included in the list. Furthermore, in compliance with the IS-136 standard, the neighbor list would also provide certain parameter information which relates to the characteristics of the control channel. For example, the parameter information would indicate whether a control channel is associated with a private, a public, or a semi-private system. The identification of the particular system to which the control channel is associated would not necessarily be presented in the neighbor list. Instead only the type of system that the control channel is associated would be supplied. Similarly, the parameter information could indicate whether the control channel is designed to provide voice service, data service, or fax service.

Other parameter information which defines the characteristics of the control channel could also be supplied with a neighbor list, (e.g., cell type, protocol version, cell sync, etc.).

An example of a correlation of the information presented by the control channel in which the mobile is camped, is shown in Fig. 2. In this tabular representation of the data which might be stored in the mobile station, a first control channel in the list has an ID of "XXXXX". The parameter information indicates that this control channel is associated with a private system and provides voice services. The control channel identified by "YYYYY" is by contrast associated with the public system while still providing voice services.

The control channel "ZZZZZ" is also associated with the public system, but provides fax services. Similar information would be provided for each control channel identified in the neighbor list provided by the control channel on which the mobile station is camped.

This parameter information can then be used to modify or control the process by which an alternative control channel can be selected.

A flow chart illustrating the process for controlling re-selection using the received neighbor list is illustrated in Fig. 3.

In step 300, the mobile station receives the neighbor list from the control channel on which it is presently camped. In Step 301, the mobile station processor scans the neighbor list in accordance with a stored control program and examines the entries in the list to determine whether any of the control channels (the candidate control channels) are ineligible because of a lack of compatibility between the mobile station and the control channel. As an example, incompatibility could arise where the mobile station does not have access to any private network or system. The mobile station memory would store system IDs for those systems

with which the mobile station is allowed to communicate.

It could also store a flag indicating whether the mobile station has access to any private system. Under those circumstances where there is access to private systems, any control channel which is related to a private system is incompatible with the mobile station. Similarly, if the mobile station seeks voice services, then any control channel associated with fax or data services would be incompatible with the mobile station.

Once a candidate control channel is detected or recognized to be ineligible in Step 302, then each of those ineligible control channels is marked as ineligible in the neighbor list. In particular, the processor in the mobile station modifies the neighbor list to somehow mark a control channel as ineligible. One way of doing this is to include an eligibility flag in the neighbor list. All control channels in the neighbor list would initially have their eligibility flags set as indicating an eligible control channel. Then, when a control channel is marked as ineligible the flag would be reset to an ineligible state.

Alternatively, it is possible that other steps could be taken to effectively remove the ineligible control channels from subsequent consideration in the re-selection process. In Step 304, a process, known in the prior art, is initiated for studying or analyzing candidate channels to try to select the optimal candidate channel for reselection. This process is referred to in Fig. 3, as "analyzing candidate control channels." That step of analysis is limited to only those control channels which are deemed to be eligible.

That is, if the eligibility status reflected in the neighbor list in Step 303 indicated that a control channel is ineligible, then that control channel will not be included in the analysis operation beyond that point. It will, in essence, be ignored and will not figure in the calculations of determining the best

candidate control channel for the re-selection process.

In the analysis operation, each of the eligible control channels will be tested for certain criteria such as RF level. Once each of the eligible control channels is tested a primary candidate channel is selected (step 305) as the processor identifies which of the eligible control channels is the best candidate for re-selection based on the test results obtained during the analysis of step 304. This may arise under the circumstance where the processor will run tests on each of the eligible control channels. As an example a result of the tests on such things as the RF level in step 304 the processor may determine that one or more of the candidate control channel satisfy certain criteria to be selectable by the mobile station. Then, in step 305 the processor could go through this subset of selectable candidate control channels and select the optimal or primary candidate control channel based on the parameters associated with that control channel. Thus, a primary candidate channel could be as in step 305. Once such a primary candidate channel is identified, the mobile station attempts to re-select to the primary candidate channel in Step 306. If the re-selection attempt is successful as detected in Step 307, then the mobile station camps onto the primary candidate channel in Step 308 and receives a new neighbor list from the primary candidate control channel identifying neighbors associated with that control channel. If, however, the attempt to re-select is unsuccessful, then the processor can make a determination as to why the reselection attempt failed. If the failure is due to a mismatch of certain predetermined criteria as referred to in Step 309, then the channel identified as the primary candidate control channel could then be treated as an ineligible candidate channel. The eligibility status within the neighbor list would be modified to reflect this change of status and the processor could

then resume the re-selection process from Step 304, where the processor could begin again the analysis of the candidate control channels focusing only on those which remain as eligible control channels. The system will then select another primary candidate and attempt to reselect to that second primary candidate channel. This process will continue until the mobile station camps onto an alternative control channel.

As has been described above, a candidate channel could be ineligible because the control channel is associated with a private system, whereas the mobile station is not affiliated with any private system. Furthermore, a control channel may be deemed ineligible because of the type of service that it provides and the lack of compatibility between that service and the service of the mobile station. The predetermined criteria referred to in connection with Step 309 can be any condition that causes the failure of a reselect attempt. Typically one such event would be where the primary candidate channel is associated with a private system and the mobile station is also associated with a private system. In that circumstance, then, the primary candidate channel would not then be marked ineligible in Step 303. Then, during the reselect attempt the private system identifier associated with the primary candidate channel would be provided to the mobile station. If the mobile station private system identifier stored in memory does not match the private system ID received from the primary candidate channel during the attempt to re-select, then the mobile station will not get access to the private system associated with that primary candidate channel. Therefore, there is an incompatibility between the mobile station and the system associated with that primary candidate channel. In view of this incompatibility, it is consistent with the present invention to now mark this primary control channel as ineligible as in step 310. Then, if it is

necessary to analyze the neighbor list again (step 304) to find a candidate channel for re-selection, the newly designated ineligible control channel will not be analyzed.

In accordance with the present invention, other parameter information associated with a control channel could be used to determine whether the control channel is eligible for re-selection by this particular mobile station. Furthermore, modifications to the process of Fig. 3 are also possible. For instance, in one variation Steps 301, 302 and 303 would be eliminated, that is the processor would not do any preliminary examination of the neighbor list to determine if any candidate channels are ineligible. Instead, the system would simply rely on marking primary candidate channels as ineligible for subsequent reselection attempts. Alternatively, the control method of the present invention could rely simply on the ineligibility determination made at the beginning of the analysis process and not dynamically evaluate ineligibility based on whether an attempt to reselect a particular candidate channel was successful. Thus steps 309 and 310 could be eliminated and benefits would still be obtained from the remaining process.

Since the present invention resides in a method by which the mobile station selects an appropriate reselection candidate and since it is implemented using software running on a processor within the mobile station, it must be recognized that variations on the order in which certain steps are performed and the specific techniques or parameters involved in the process could be modified while still falling within the spirit of the present invention. For example, it is conceivable that in one variation the station microprocessor could scan the entire list of neighbors and adjust the eligibility status where appropriate for all ineligible control channels before proceeding with

the analysis of the eligible control channels. In an alternative embodiment, the first time through the neighbor list the processor could first determine whether a given control channel is eligible and then, if it is eligible, perform the analysis with respect to that control channel. Then the microprocessor would turn to the next control channel on the list, determine whether it should be considered eligible and if so conduct the evaluation with respect to that control channel and so on. In this second configuration, the processor does not scan the entire list before beginning the evaluation process. Instead, it combines the marking and evaluation steps.

Other modifications might include other techniques for marking a control channel as ineligible.

In yet another embodiment it is conceivable that the mobile station could select for analysis a subset of the control channels from the neighbor list; the subset being based on any one or combination of parameters.

In accordance with the present invention, a mobile station can more optimally control the process by which it selects alternative control channels. It provides the mobile station with a way to focus only on those candidate control channels with which the mobile station can effectively communicate.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. In a system in which a mobile station operating on a first control channel can re-select another control channel, a method for controlling a re-selection process comprising the steps of:
 - (a) receiving a list of candidate control channels;
 - (b) determining if any of said candidate control channels are ineligible for re-selection;
 - (c) analyzing candidate control channels in said list to identify a primary candidate control channel where the step of analyzing is performed only with respect to those candidate control channels not determined to be ineligible;
 - (d) attempting to re-select said primary candidate control channel.
2. The method of claim 1 comprising the further step of:
 - (e) if the attempt to re-select said primary candidate control channel fails, then determining said primary candidate control channel to be ineligible if the failed attempt is attributable to a predetermined event.
3. The method of claim 2 comprising the further steps of:
 - after a failed attempt to re-select said primary candidate control channel
 - (f) determining if there are any secondary candidate control channels; and
 - (g) if there are no secondary candidate control channels repeating steps (b) to (d).
4. The method of claim 2 wherein said predetermined

event comprises a mismatch between the service sought by the mobile station and the service provided by the primary candidate control channel.

5. The method of claim 2 wherein said primary candidate control channel corresponds to a private system and the predetermined event comprises the mobile station being incompatible with said private system.

6. In a process of controlling a mobile station's re-selection of a control channel, a method for modifying a list of candidate control channels, the method comprising the steps of:

analyzing the list of candidate control channels to determine if any of the control channels are incompatible with the mobile station; and

marking as ineligible those candidate control channels determined to be incompatible;

wherein once a candidate control channel is marked ineligible it is ignored by the re-selection process.

7. The method of claim 6 wherein the mobile station does not belong to any private system and any control channel associated with a private system is deemed to be incompatible.

8. The method of claim 6 wherein a control channel is deemed incompatible when there is a mismatch between a service it provides and a service sought by the mobile station.

9. In a wireless communication environment in which a mobile station camps on to a selected control channel and can select an alternative control channel on which to attempt to camp, a method comprising the steps of:

receiving a list of candidate control channels at the mobile station over the control channel on which the

mobile station is currently camped;
analyzing said list to determine a primary candidate channel for re-selection;
attempting to re-select said primary candidate channel;

if the attempt to re-select fails because the primary candidate channel corresponds to a private system to which the mobile station is not permitted access, then marking the primary candidate channel as ineligible for re-selection such that during a subsequent attempt to re-select the control channel marked as ineligible is not considered.

10. The method of claim 9 wherein a candidate control channel is marked as ineligible in said list if it is associated with a private system, said step of analyzing further comprising the steps of:

determining if a candidate control channel has been marked ineligible; and

considering only those control channels that have not been marked ineligible.

11. The method of claim 9 wherein a control channel is marked as private in said list of candidate control channels if it is associated with a private system, said step of analyzing further comprising the steps of:

determining if a candidate control channel is marked as private in said list of potential control channels; and

considering said control channel for re-selection only if said mobile station has a private system identification stored in a memory.

12. In a mobile station camped onto a first control channel and capable of re-selecting an alternative control channel, a method of identifying a potential alternative control channel comprising the steps of:

receiving a list of candidate control channels, said list including an identifier for each candidate control channel and at least one parameter defining information regarding its associated candidate control channel;

determining, for each candidate control channel, whether it is eligible for re-selection based upon information defined by said at least one parameter associated with that control channel;

selecting a primary candidate control channel from those candidate control channels determined to be eligible; and

wherein the primary candidate control channel constitutes the potential alternative control channel for a subsequent re-selection attempt.

13. The method of claim 12 wherein said at least one parameter identifies whether the associated control channel belongs to a private system.

14. The method of claim 13 wherein any control channel identified as belonging to a private system is deemed ineligible.

15. The method of claim 12 wherein said at least one parameter identifies a service offered by the associated control channel.

16. The method of claim 15 wherein any control channel offering a service incompatible with the mobile station is found to be ineligible in said step of determining.

17. The method of claim 12 wherein if a re-selection attempt to the alternative control channel fails then marking said alternative control channel as ineligible for re-selection in a subsequent attempt.

18. In a process of controlling a mobile station's re-selection of a control channel, a method for modifying a list of candidate control channels comprising the steps of:

determining whether an attempted re-select to one of the candidate control channels failed due to incompatibility of the mobile station and the one candidate control channel; and

if incompatibility is determined, marking said one candidate control channel in said list of candidate control channels as ineligible;

wherein once a candidate control channel is marked ineligible it is ignored by the re-selection process for a period.

19. The method of claim 18 wherein said incompatibility with a control channel arises from the mobile station being unable to access a system with which the control channel is associated.

FIG. 1




FIG. 2

CONTROL CHANNEL ID	ELIGIBILITY STATUS	PARAMETER INFORMATION		
		PRIVATE STATUS	SERVICE DESCRIPTION	...
XXXXX		PRIVATE	VOICE	...
YYYYY		PUBLIC	VOICE	...
ZZZZZ		PUBLIC	FAX	...
.....
AAAAA		PUBLIC	VOICE	...

FIG. 3

